

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

AMYRIS, INC., *et al.*,

Debtors.¹

Chapter 11

Case No. 23-11131 (TMH)

(Jointly Administered)

Hearing Date: September 14, 2023 at 2:00 p.m. (ET)
Objection Deadline: At the Hearing, per L.R. 9018-1(d)(viii)

**DEBTORS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER AUTHORIZING
DEBTORS TO FILE UNDER SEAL CERTAIN INFORMATION RELATED TO
REPLY OF THE DEBTORS IN SUPPORT OF FINAL ORDER (I) AUTHORIZING
DEBTORS (A) TO OBTAIN POSTPETITION FINANCING AND (B) TO
UTILIZE CASH COLLATERAL, (II) GRANTING ADEQUATE PROTECTION
TO PREPETITION SECURED PARTIES, (III) MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC
STAY, AND (IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

The above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (the “Debtors”) in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Cases”) file this motion (the “Motion to Seal”) for the entry of an order (the “Proposed Order”), substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, authorizing the Debtors to file under seal certain confidential information related to the *Reply of the Debtors in Support of Final Order (I) Authorizing Debtors (A) to Obtain Postpetition Financing and (B) to Utilize Cash Collateral, (II) Granting Adequate Protection to Prepetition Secured Parties, (III) Modifying the Automatic Stay, and (IV) Granting Related Relief* (the “Reply”)² In support of the Motion to Seal, the Debtors respectfully represent as follows:

¹ A complete list of each of the Debtors in these Chapter 11 Cases may be obtained on the website of the Debtors’ claims and noticing agent at <https://cases.stretto.com/amyris>. The location of Debtor Amyris Inc.’s principal place of business and the Debtors’ service address in these Chapter 11 Cases is 5885 Hollis Street, Suite 100, Emeryville, CA 94608.

² Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Reply.

Jurisdiction and Venue

1. The United States District Court for the District of Delaware has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334, which was referred to the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Court”) under 28 U.S.C. § 157 pursuant to the *Amended Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware*, dated February 29, 2012. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2), and the Debtors confirm their consent pursuant to Rule 9013-1(f) of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Local Rules”) to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with this Motion to Seal to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

2. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

3. The statutory bases for the relief requested herein are sections 105(a) and 107(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 9018 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and Local Rule 9018-1.

Background

4. On August 9 and August 21, 2023 (as applicable, the “Petition Date”), each Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code (the “Chapter 11 Cases”). The Debtors are operating their businesses and managing their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No request for the appointment of a trustee or examiner has been made in the Chapter 11 Cases.

5. On August 27, 2023, the Office of the United States Trustee (the “U.S. Trustee”) appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “Creditors Committee”) including the following: U.S. Bank Trust Co. NA; Cosan U.S. Inc.; Sartorius Stedim North America Inc.; Hearst Magazine Media Inc.; Wiley Companies; Park Wynwood LLC; and Allog Participacoes Ltda.

6. The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered for procedural purposes only pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b) and Local Rule 1015-1.

7. A detailed description of the Debtors’ business and facts precipitating the filing of the Debtors’ chapter 11 proceedings are set forth in the *Declaration of Han Kieftenbeld in Support of the Debtors’ Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Relief* (the “First Day Declaration”) [Docket No. 18], incorporated herein by reference.

Relief Requested

8. By this Motion to Seal, the Debtors seek entry of the Proposed Order, pursuant to sections 105(a) and 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 9018, and Local Rule 9018-1: (i) authorizing the Debtors to file under seal certain confidential information contained in the Reply; and (ii) granting related relief.

Basis for Relief

9. The Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rules, and Local Rules authorize the Court to limit the disclosure of certain confidential information to protect entities from potential harm. Section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in relevant part, as follows:

On request of a party in interest, the bankruptcy court shall . . . (1) protect an entity with respect to a trade secret or confidential research, development, or commercial information

11 U.S.C. § 107(b)(1).

10. Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code empowers the Court to “issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title.”

11 U.S.C. § 105(a).

11. Bankruptcy Rule 9018 defines the procedures by which a party may move for relief under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code:

On motion or on its own initiative, with or without notice, the court may make any order which justice requires (1) to protect the estate or any entity in respect of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information

Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9018.

12. Further, Local Rule 9018-1(d) provides, in relevant part, that “any entity seeking to file a document . . . under seal must file a motion requesting such relief.” Del. Bankr. L.R. 9018-1(d)(i).

13. Unlike its counterpart in Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code does not require an entity seeking such protection to demonstrate “good cause.” *See, e.g., Video Software Dealers Ass’n v. Orion Pictures Corp. (In re Orion Pictures Corp.)*, 21 F.3d 24, 28 (2d Cir. 1994) (holding that a license agreement authorizing a licensee “to reproduce, manufacture, distribute, and sell videocassettes” of three films contained confidential commercial information). Rather, if the material sought to be protected satisfies one of the categories identified in section 107(b), “the court is required to protect a requesting party and has no discretion to deny the application.” *Id.* at 27. Moreover, the resulting order should be broad, *i.e.*, “any order which justice requires.” *In re Glob. Crossing, Ltd.*, 295 B.R. 720, 724 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2003); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9018. Courts are required to provide such protections “generally where open inspection may be used as a vehicle for improper purposes.” *Orion Pictures*, 21 F.3d at 27. Indeed, the “authority goes not just to the protection of confidential

documents, but to other confidentiality restrictions that are warranted in the interests of justice.”
Glob. Crossing, 295 B.R. at 724.

14. The Reply contains certain information (the “Confidential Information”) relating to the arbitration (the “Arbitration”) in the International Chamber of Commerce International Court of Arbitration (“ICC”) between Lavvan, Inc. (“Lavvan”) and Debtor Amyris, Inc. The pending Arbitration is confidential, as provided for under the ICC 2021 Arbitration Rules (the “ICC Rules”). *See* ICC Rules, Appendix I, Art. 8 (“The work of the [ICC] is of a confidential nature which must be respected by everyone who participates in that work in whatever capacity.”); ICC Rules, Appendix II, Art. 1 (“The documents submitted to the [ICC], or drawn up by it or the Secretariat in the course of the [ICC]’s proceedings, are communicated only to the members of the ICC, to the Secretariat and to persons authorized by the President to attend [ICC] sessions.”). If the Confidential Information were to be made public via PACER, such disclosure would be to the detriment of the Debtors, their estates, and their creditors. Accordingly, the Debtors believe that the Confidential Information should be subject to the protections of section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

15. The Debtors submit that good cause exists for the Court to grant the relief requested herein. As described above, the ICC Rules provide that the work of the ICC in arbitration proceedings is of a confidential nature. To preserve the confidentiality of the Arbitration, in accordance with the ICC Guidelines, the Debtors seek permission to file the Reply under seal and to redact the Confidential Information in publicly filed versions of the Reply as described herein.

16. The Debtors have or will share unredacted versions of the Reply with the Court, the U.S. Trustee, counsel to the DIP Lenders, DIP Agent, and the Foris Prepetition Secured Lenders, counsel to Lavvan, and counsel to the Creditors Committee.

Notice

17. The Debtors will provide notice of this Motion to Seal to: (a) the Office of the U.S. Trustee for the District of Delaware; (b) counsel to the Creditors Committee; (c) counsel to the DIP Lenders, DIP Agent, and the Foris Prepetition Secured Lenders; (d) counsel to Lavvan; and (e) any party that requests service pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required.

No Prior Request

18. No prior request for the relief sought in this Motion to Seal has been made to this Court or any other court.

Compliance with Local Rule 9018-1(d)

19. Contemporaneously with the filing of this Motion to Seal, the Debtors have filed a redacted version of the Reply on the Court's docket consistent with Local Rule 9018-1(d)(ii).

20. Pursuant to Local Rule 9018-1(d)(iv), the undersigned counsel for the Debtors certifies that, to the best of the knowledge, information, and belief of counsel for the Debtors, the proposed sealed Reply does not contain information subject to confidentiality rights of another holder of confidentiality rights.

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request entry of the Proposed Order:
(i) granting the relief requested herein; and (ii) granting the Debtors such other and further relief
as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: September 11, 2023

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

/s/ James E. O'Neill

Richard M. Pachulski (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Debra I. Grassgreen (admitted *pro hac vice*)

James E. O'Neill (DE Bar No. 4042)

Jason H. Rosell (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Steven W. Golden (DE Bar No. 6807)

919 N. Market Street, 17th Floor

P.O. Box 8705

Wilmington, DE 19899-8705 (Courier 19801)

Telephone: (302) 652-4100

Facsimile: (302) 652-4400

Email: rpachulski@pszjlaw.com

dgrassgreen@pszjlaw.com

joneill@pszjlaw.com

jrosell@pszjlaw.com

sgolden@pszjlaw.com

Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession